THE YORK POTASH HARBOUR FACILITIES ORDER 201X ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT



Regulation Number:	Regulation 5(2)(a)
Document Ref:	6.4
Author:	Royal HaskoningDHV
Date:	March 2015
Status:	Submission





York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201X – Environmental Statement



Document title: York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201X – Environmental Statement Status: Final Report (Revision 1) Date: March 2015 Project number: 9Y0989 Client: York Potash Ltd Client contact: James Barrie

Reference: Harbour Facilities ES Drafted by: Steven Rayner and Matt Simpson Checked by: Matt Simpson Date / initials check: 23 March 2015 Approved by: Sian John Date / initials approval: 24 March 2015



CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.	1 Background to the proposed scheme	1
1.	2 The Environmental Statement	1
1.	3 Outline of the wider York Potash Project	2
1.	4 The study area for the proposed scheme	4
1.	5 Report structure	4
2	RELEVANT LEGISLATION, REGULATION AND POLICY	11
2.	1 Introduction	
2.	2 Environmental Impact Assessment Directive	
2.	3 Habitats Directive	11
2.	4 Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981	
2.		
2.	6 Waste Framework Directive	
2.		
3	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED HARBOUR FACILITIES	19
3.		
3.		
3.	3 Consideration of alternative options	
4	APPROACH TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	
4.	1 Introduction	
4.	2 Environmental Impact Assessment guidance	
4.		
4.	4 Cumulative Impact Assessment	
4.	0	
5	HYDRODYNAMIC AND SEDIMENTARY REGIME	139
5.	1 Introduction	
5.	2 Policy and consultation	
5.	0,	
5.	5	
5.		
5.	6 Prediction of potential effects during operation	



5.7	Summary	
6 HY	DROLOGY, HYDROGEOLOGY AND LAND QUALITY	
6.1	Introduction	
6.2	Guidance and consultation	
6.3	Methodology	
6.4	Existing environment	
6.5	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	
6.6	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	
6.7	Summary	
7 MA	ARINE SEDIMENT AND WATER QUALITY	
7.1	Introduction	
7.2	Policy, legislation and consultation	
7.3	Methodology	
7.4	Existing environment	
7.5	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	
7.6	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	
7.7	Assessment of potential impacts during decommissioning	
7.8	Summary	
8 M/	ARINE ECOLOGY	
8.1	Introduction	
8.2	Guidance and consultation	
8.3	Methodology	
8.4	Existing environment	
8.5	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	
8.6	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	
8.7	Assessment of potential impacts during decommissioning	
8.8	Summary	
9 MA	ARINE AND COASTAL ORNITHOLOGY	
9.1	Introduction	
9.2	Guidance and consultation	
9.3	Methodology	
9.4	Existing environment	
9.5	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	



9.6	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	
9.7	Assessment of potential impacts during decommissioning	
9.8	Monitoring	
10 TE	RRESTRIAL ECOLOGY	
10.1	Introduction	
10.2	Guidance and consultation	
10.3	Methodology	
10.4	Existing environment	
10.5	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	
10.6	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	
10.7	Assessment of impacts during decommissioning	
10.8	Summary	
11 FIS	SHERIES AND FISHING ACTIVITY	
11.1	Introduction	
11.2	Guidance and consultation	
11.3	Methodology	
11.4	Existing environment	
11.5	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	
11.6	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	
11.7	Assessment of potential impacts during decommissioning	
11.8	Summary	
12 TR	ANSPORT	443
12.1	Introduction	
12.2	Legislation, Policy and Guidance	
12.3	Methodology and Consultation	
12.4	Baseline environment	
12.5	Assessment of impacts during construction	
12.6	Assessment of impacts during operation	
12.7	Assessment of impacts during decommissioning	
12.8	In-combination assessment	
12.9	Summary	
13 AIF	R QUALITY	479
13.1	Introduction	



13.2	Guidance and consultation	470
13.2	Methodology	
13.3	Potential impacts during operation	
	Summary	
13.5	-	
	DISE AND VIBRATION	
14.1	Introduction	
14.2	Policy, guidance and consultation	
14.3	Assessment Methodology	
14.4	Existing environment	539
14.5	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	540
14.6	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	569
14.7	Summary	
15 AR	CHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	579
15.1	Introduction	
15.2	Legislation, policy and guidance	
15.3	Consultation	
15.4	Methodology	
15.5	Existing environment	
15.6	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	
15.7	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	
15.8	Assessment of potential impacts during decommissioning	
15.9	Summary	
16 CC	DMMERCIAL NAVIGATION	
16.1	Introduction	
16.2	Policy and consultation	
16.3	Methodology	
16.4	Existing environment	
16.5	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	
16.6	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	
16.7	Assessment of impacts during decommissioning	
16.8	Summary	
	DASTAL PROTECTION AND FLOOD DEFENCE	
	Introduction	
17.1		



17.2	Policy and consultation	
17.3	Consultation	
17.4	Methodology	
17.5	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	
17.6	Assessment of impacts during decommissioning	
17.7	Summary	
18 INF	RASTRUCTURE	641
18.1	Introduction	641
18.2	Policy and consultation	641
18.3	Methodology	646
18.4	Existing environment	646
18.7	Assessment of potential impacts during decommissioning	
18.8	Summary	
19 SC	CIO-ECONOMIC	
19.1	Introduction	
19.2	Legislation, policy and guidance	
19.3	Consultation	
19.4	Methodology	
19.5	Existing environment	
19.6	Assessment of impacts during construction	
19.7	Assessment of impacts during operation	
19.8	Assessment of impacts during decommissioning	
19.9	Summary	
20 LA	NDSCAPE AND VISUAL	691
20.1	Introduction	
20.2	Legislation, policy and guidance	691
20.3	Consultation	
20.4	Methodology	
20.5	Existing environment	
20.6	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	
20.7	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	
20.8	Assessment of potential impacts during decommissioning	710
20.9	Planning Policy	712



20.10) Mitigation measures	713
20.11	Summary	714
21 RE	ECREATION AND ACCESS	717
21.1	Introduction	717
21.2	Policy and consultation	717
21.3	Methodology	
21.4	Existing environment	
21.5	Assessment of potential impacts during construction	724
21.6	Assessment of potential impacts during operation	729
21.7	Assessment of potential impacts during decommissioning	731
21.8	Summary	
22 OF	FFSHORE DISPOSAL OF DREDGED MATERIAL	737
22.1	Introduction	737
22.2	Modelling of the dispersion of capital dredged material	
22.3	Predicted effects	
23 IM	PACT INTER-RELATIONSHIPS	743
23.1	Introduction	743
23.2	Identification of potential impact inter-relationships	743
23.3	Summary of impact inter-relationships	749
24 RE	FERENCES	751



I	n	d	ex
-		~	U/

Section 1	Introduction	
Figures		
Figure 1-1 Figure 1-2 Figure 1-3		Overview of the key elements of the YPP Study area Names of areas / features referred to throughout this ES
Drawings		
Drawing PB15	86-SK90	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Location Plan
Section 2	Relevant legis	slation, regulation and policy
Figures		
Figure 2-1		The waste hierarchy
Appendices		
Appendix 4.3 Appendix 3.1		WFD Compliance Assessment Waste management
Section 3	Project descr	iption
Figures		
Figure 3-1		Offshore disposal sites
Drawings		
Drawing PB15	86-SK1040	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Southern Route - Key Plan
Drawing PB15	86-SK1041	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans
Drawing PB15	86-SK1042	Southern Route - Sheet 1 The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans Southern Route - Sheet 2
Drawing PB15	86-SK1043	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans
Drawing PB15	86-SK1044	Southern Route - Sheet 3 The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans Southern Route - Sheet 4
Drawing PB15	86-SK1045	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans
Drawing PB15	86-SK1046	Southern Route - Sheet 5 The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans Southern Route - Sheet 6



Drawing PB1586-SK490	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Northern Route - Key Plan
Drawing PB1586-SK491	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans Northern Route - Sheet 1
Drawing PB1586-SK492	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans Northern Route - Sheet 2
Drawing PB1586-SK493	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans Northern Route - Sheet 3
Drawing PB1586-SK494	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans Northern Route - Sheet 4
Drawing PB1586-SK495	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans Northern Route - Sheet 5
Drawing PB1586-SK496	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans Northern Route - Sheet 6
Drawing PB1586-SK497	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Conveyor Route Plans Northern Route - Sheet 7
Drawing PB1586-SK93	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Indicative Layout - Open Quay Southern Route
Drawing PB1586–SK91	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Indicative Layout - Solid Quay Construction - Northern Route
Drawing PB1586–SK94	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Indicative Section Open Quay Construction
Drawing PB1586–SK92	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Indicative Section Solid Quay Construction
Drawing PB1586–SK466	Bran Sands Lagoon Proposed Habitat Enhancement Delivery Methodology
Drawing PB1586-SK467	Bran Sands Lagoon Proposed Habitat Enhancement
Drawing PB1586-SK420	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Southern Option –
Drawing 1 D 1000-Ort+20	Conveyor Vertical Limits Of Deviation Plans Long Section
Drawing PB1586-SK421	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Northern Option – Conveyor Vertical Limits Of Deviation Plans Long Section
Drawing 2334.P01	View 1 Photographic Illustration of Wilton MHF & MTS Development & Stage 2 Conveyor
Drawing 2334.P02	View 2 & View 3 Photographic Illustration of Stage 2 Conveyor Structures
Drawing 2334.P03	View 5 & View 7b Photographic Illustration of Stage 2 Conveyor Structures
Drawing 2334.P04	View 9a & View 9b Photographic Illustration of Stage 2 Conveyor Structures
Drawing PB1586-SK411	Overland Conveyor Proposed A1085 Crossing Option D1
Drawing PB1586-SK412	Overland Conveyor Proposed A1085 Crossing Option D2
Drawing PB1586-SK414	Overland Conveyor Proposed A1085 Crossing Option D2
Drawing PB1586-SK417	Overland Conveyor Proposed A1085 Crossing Option D4
Drawing PB1586-SK418	Overland Conveyor Proposed A1085 Crossing Option D4
Drawing PB1586-SK419	Overland Conveyor Proposed A1085 Crossing Option D6



Drawing 9Y0989 HCA GA-01	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Harbour Construction Route Access
Drawing PB1586-SK56	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Location Of Temporary Compounds (Sheet 1 of 2)
Drawing PB1586-SK57	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Location Of Temporary Compounds (Sheet 2 of 2)
Drawings PB1586-SK1021	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Temporary Compound Site A
Drawings PB1586-SK1022	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Temporary Compound Site B
Drawings PB1586-SK1023	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Temporary Compound Site D
Drawings PB1586-SK1024	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Temporary Compound Site E
Drawings PB1586-SK1025	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Temporary Compound Site F
Drawings PB1586-SK1026	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Permanent Compound Site A
Drawings PB1586-SK1027	The York Potash Harbour Facilities Order 201x Permanent Compound Site C
Tables	
Table 3-1	Parameters of Works used as the basis for assessment in the EIA
Table 3-2	Summary of capital dredged material quantities and material types for Phases 1 and 2 (open quay configuration)
Table 3-3	Summary of dredged material volumes for the open quay and solid quay options
Table 3-4	Summary of maximum daily two-way vehicle movements proposed during construction of Phase 1 of the proposed scheme
Table 3-5	Summary of maximum daily two-way vehicle movements proposed during construction of Phase 2 of the proposed scheme
Table 3-6	
	Summary of durations for each key construction activity required as part of the proposed open quay structure
Table 3-7	
Table 3-7 Table 3-8	of the proposed open quay structure Summary of durations for each key construction activity required as part of the proposed solid quay structure Proposed throughputs of the port terminal during Phase 1 and Phase 2
	of the proposed open quay structure Summary of durations for each key construction activity required as part of the proposed solid quay structure
Table 3-8	of the proposed open quay structure Summary of durations for each key construction activity required as part of the proposed solid quay structure Proposed throughputs of the port terminal during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the proposed scheme Vessel numbers required to transport the anticipated volumes of product from the port terminal during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the proposed



Appendices

Appendix 3.1	Waste management
Appendix 3.2	Option Study Report
Section 4	Approach to the Environmental Impact Assessment
<u>Tables</u>	
Table 4-1	Generic guidelines used in the determination of receptor sensitivity and value
Table 4-2 Table 4-3	Generic guidelines used in the determination of magnitude of effect Impact assessment matrix
Appendices	
Appendix 4.1 Appendix 4.2	Environmental scoping report Scoping opinion
Appendix 4.2 Appendix 4.3	WFD Compliance Assessment
Section 5	Hydrodynamic and sedimentary regime
Tables	
Table 5-1	Summary of NPS for Ports requirements with specific regard to coastal processes
Table 5-2	Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 with regard to the hydrodynamic and sedimentary regimes
Table 5-3	Tidal levels for the Tees estuary
Table 5-4	Calculated wave return periods at waverider buoy locations
Table 5-5	Summary of predicted effects of the proposed scheme on the hydrodynamic and sedimentary regime
Figures	
Figure 5-1	Numerical modelling domain for the hydrodynamic and sedimentary studies
Figure 5-2	Simulated sediment release from CSD dredging in spring tide, low river flow conditions a) average increase in suspended sediment concentration b) deposition after 3 tides of dredging
Figure 5-3	Simulated sediment release from TSHD dredging in spring tide, low river flow conditions a) average increase in suspended sediment concentration b) deposition after 3 tides of dredging
Figure 5-4	Simulated sediment release from backhoe dredging in spring tide, low river flow conditions a) average increase in suspended sediment concentration b) deposition after 3 tides of dredging



Figure 5-5	Simulated sediment release from CSD dredging in neap tide, high river flow conditions a) average increase in suspended sediment
Figure 5-6	concentration b) deposition after 3 tides of dredging Simulated sediment release from TSHD dredging in neap tide, high river flow conditions a) average increase in suspended sediment concentration b) deposition after 3 tides of dredging
Figure 5-7	Simulated sediment release from backhoe dredging in neap tide, high river flow conditions a) average increase in suspended sediment concentration b) deposition after 3 tides of dredging
Figure 5-8	Change in depth average currents due to dredging and open quay structure at time of peak ebb tide, spring tide, low river flow
Figure 5-9	Change in depth average currents due to dredging and the solid quay structure at time of peak ebb tide, spring tide, low river flow
Figure5-10	Change in depth average currents due to dredging and the open quay structure at time of peak flood tide, spring tide, low river flow
Figure 5-11	Change in depth average currents due to dredging and the solid quay structure at time of peak flood tide, spring tide, low river flow
Figure 5-12	Change in wave height (m) due to dredging and open quay structure
Figure 5-13	Change in wave height (m) due to dredging and solid quay structure
Figure 5-14	Tees estuary and approach Chart areas
Appendices	
Appendix 5.1 Appendix 5.2	Modelling report Validation and calibration information
Appendix 5.2	Validation and calibration information
Appendix 5.2 Section 6	Validation and calibration information
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 Tables	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 Tables Table 6-1	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 Tables Table 6-1 Table 6-2	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 <u>Tables</u> Table 6-1 Table 6-2 Table 6-3	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 <u>Tables</u> Table 6-1 Table 6-2 Table 6-3 Table 6-4	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality Examples of receptor sensitivity
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 Tables Table 6-1 Table 6-2 Table 6-3 Table 6-4 Table 6-5	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality Examples of receptor sensitivity Examples of magnitude of impact
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 Tables Table 6-1 Table 6-2 Table 6-3 Table 6-4 Table 6-5 Table 6-6	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality Examples of receptor sensitivity Examples of magnitude of impact Significance of impact
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 <u>Tables</u> Table 6-1 Table 6-2 Table 6-3 Table 6-4 Table 6-5 Table 6-6 Table 6-7	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality Examples of receptor sensitivity Examples of magnitude of impact Significance of impact Summary of ground conditions
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 Tables Table 6-1 Table 6-2 Table 6-3 Table 6-3 Table 6-5 Table 6-6 Table 6-7 Table 6-8	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality Examples of receptor sensitivity Examples of magnitude of impact Significance of impact Summary of ground conditions Surface water quality data (Grontmij, February 2007 to 2012)
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 <u>Tables</u> Table 6-1 Table 6-2 Table 6-3 Table 6-4 Table 6-5 Table 6-6 Table 6-7	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality Examples of receptor sensitivity Examples of magnitude of impact Significance of impact Summary of ground conditions Surface water quality data (Grontmij, February 2007 to 2012) Summary of groundwater quality data obtained from previous site
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 <u>Tables</u> Table 6-1 Table 6-2 Table 6-3 Table 6-3 Table 6-5 Table 6-6 Table 6-7 Table 6-8 Table 6-9	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality Examples of receptor sensitivity Examples of magnitude of impact Significance of impact Surface water quality data (Grontmij, February 2007 to 2012) Summary of groundwater quality data obtained from previous site investigations and annual reports
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 Tables Table 6-1 Table 6-2 Table 6-3 Table 6-3 Table 6-5 Table 6-6 Table 6-7 Table 6-8 Table 6-9 Table 6-10	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality Examples of receptor sensitivity Examples of magnitude of impact Significance of impact Summary of ground conditions Surface water quality data (Grontmij, February 2007 to 2012) Summary of groundwater quality data obtained from previous site investigations and annual reports Summary of groundwater level monitoring locations
Appendix 5.2 Section 6 <u>Tables</u> Table 6-1 Table 6-2 Table 6-3 Table 6-3 Table 6-5 Table 6-6 Table 6-7 Table 6-8 Table 6-9	Validation and calibration information Hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality European legislation National legislation Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 regarding hydrology, hydrogeology and land quality Examples of receptor sensitivity Examples of magnitude of impact Significance of impact Surface water quality data (Grontmij, February 2007 to 2012) Summary of groundwater quality data obtained from previous site investigations and annual reports



Table 6-14	Impact assessment summary
Figures	
Figure 6-1 Figure 6-2 Figure 6-3 Figure 6-4 Figure 6-5	Surface waters Groundwater monitoring boreholes Solmek exploratory hole locations (February 2011) Solmek exploratory hole locations (August 2011) Landfill sites
Appendices	
Appendix 6-1 Appendix 6-2 Appendix 6-3 Appendix 6-4	Site investigation post meeting note Risk assessment report Preliminary risk assessment Construction Environmental Management Plan
Section 7	Marine sediment and water quality
<u>Tables</u>	
Table 7-1	Summary of NPS for Ports requirements with regard to marine water and sediment quality
Table7-2 Table 7-3 Table 7-4	Selected List I Dangerous Substances (Environment Agency, 2011) Selected List II Dangerous Substances (Environment Agency, 2011) Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 with regard to marine sediment and water quality
Table 7-5	Summary of consultation responses on the scope of the sediment quality survey
Table 7-6 Table 7-7 Table 7-8	Selected Cefas Action Levels Selected CSQG values (taken from CCME, 2002) Summary of samples collected and ground conditions encountered during the sediment quality survey
Table 7-9	Average concentration of metals recorded in water samples from the Tees estuary between 2012 and 2013 (Environment Agency, 2013)
Table 7-10	Average dangerous substances data recorded in water samples recovered from the Tees estuary between 2006 and 2011 (Environment Agency, 2011)
Table 7-11	Vessel numbers required to transport the anticipated volumes of product from the port terminal during Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the proposed scheme
Table 7-12	Summary of impacts with regard to marine sediment and water quality



Appendices	
Appendix 7.1 Appendix 7.2	Sediment quality sampling and analysis strategy Cefas sediment quality data
Figures	
Figure 7-1 Figure 7-2	Sediment sample locations for the NGCT EIA and QEII Jetty EIA Vibrocore locations within and adjacent to the proposed berthing pocket and in adjacent areas
Figure 7-3	Simulated average increase in suspended sediment concentration from backhoe dredging in spring tide, low river flow conditions
Figure 7-4	Simulated average increase in suspended sediment concentration from CSD dredging in spring tide, low river flow conditions
Figure 7-5	Simulated average increase in suspended sediment concentrations from TSHD dredging in spring tide, low river flow conditions
Section 8	Marine ecology
Tables	
Table 8-1	Summary of NPS for Ports requirements with specific regard to marine ecology
Table 8-2	Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 with regard to marine ecology
Table 8-3	Summary of consultation responses on the scope of the benthic ecological survey
Table 8-4	Tide times and level above LAT during the underwater noise survey
Table 8-5	Details of measurements and prevailing conditions recorded during the survey
Table 8-6	Physical parameters assumed within the RAMSGeo model
Table 8-7	Assessment criteria used to assess the potential impact of underwater noise of marine species
Table 8-8	Proposed injury criteria for various marine mammal groups (Southall et al, 2007)
Table 8-9	Total abundance and frequency of the ten most abundant taxa recorded from the 2m beam trawl survey
Table 8-10	RMS levels for marine mammals for each measurement area
Table 8-11	Summary of modelled ranges for unweighted peak-to-peak SPLs for
	impact piling for a 914mm diameter pile
Table 8-12	Summary of modelled ranges for unweighted peak-to-peak SPLs for impact piling for a 2000mm diameter pile
Table 8-13	Summary of the modelled ranges for unweighted RMS SPLs in 10dB increments for dredging activities



Table 8-14	Summary of the modelled ranges for 130 dBht (species) levels for impact piling operations using a 914mm and 2000mm diameter pile
Table 8-15	Summary of the modelled ranges for 90 and 75 dBht (species) levels for impact piling of a 914mm diameter pile
Table 8-16	Summary of the modelled ranges for 90 and 75 dBht (species) levels for impact piling of a 2000mm diameter pile
Table 8-17	Summary of the modelled ranges for 90 and 75 dBht (species) levels for backhoe and suction dredging levels with regard to harbour seal
Table 8-18	Summary of impact ranges from impact piling a 914mm diameter pile using Southall et al 2007 criteria SEL of 186 dB re 1 μ Pa2s for pinnipeds (in water)
Table 8-19	Summary of impact ranges from impact piling a 2000mm diameter pile using Southall et al 2007 criteria SEL of 186 dB re 1 μ Pa2s for pinnipeds (in water)
Table 8-20	Summary of impacts anticipated to arise during the construction and operation phase of the proposed scheme with regard to marine ecology
<u>Figures</u>	
Figure 8-1	Benthic ecological survey locations for QEII and NGCT
Figure 8-2	Environment Agency benthic ecological survey locations undertaken
Figure 8-3	Benthic ecological sample locations within the berth pocket and approach channel
Figure 8-4	Benthic ecological sample locations within the approach channel
Figure 8-5	Study area for underwater noise and static monitor deployment areas
Figure 8-6	Biotope distribution across the survey area
Figure 8-7	Percentage contributions of major taxonomic groups to the total number of taxa recorded (A) to the total abundance (B)
Figure 8-8	Designated sites in the vicinity of the proposed harbour facility
Figure 8-9	Location of seal haul out sites on Seal Sands
Figure 8-10	Contour plot showing the predicted unweighted peak-to-peak SPLs from impact piling of a 914mm diameter pile at the North position
Figure 8-11	Contour plot showing the predicted unweighted peak-to-peak SPLs from impact piling of a 914mm diameter pile at the South position
Figure 8-12	Contour plot showing the predicted unweighted peak-to-peak SPLs from impact piling of a 2000mm diameter pile at the North position
Figure 8-13	Contour plot showing the predicted unweighted peak-to-peak SPLs from impact piling of a 2000mm diameter pile at the South position
Figure 8-14	Plan showing Tees Valley Wildlife Trust land ownership (red) and Northumbrian Water Limited land dedicated for conservation under S.106 agreement (blue)
Figure 8-15	Contour plot showing the predicted 90 and 75 dBht levels for harbour seal for impact piling using a 914mm diameter pile and blow energy of 125 kJ



Figure 8-16	Contour plot showing the predicted 90 and 75 dBht levels for harbour seal for impact piling using a 2000mm diameter pile and blow energy of 125 kJ
Appendices	
Appendix 8.1 Appendix 8.2	Calibration certificate for the underwater noise meter Underwater noise modelling
Appendix 8.3	Benthic ecology survey report
<u>Plates</u>	
Plate 8-1	Intertidal area at the site of the proposed port terminal (looking seaward/downstream) (photo courtesy of INCA)
Plate 8-2	Intertidal area at the site of the proposed port terminal (looking landward/upstream) (photo courtesy of INCA)
Plate 8-3	Upper intertidal area at the site of the proposed port terminal (looking landward/upstream) (photo courtesy of INCA)
Plate 8-4	Intertidal area at the site of the proposed port terminal (looking across the estuary) (photo courtesy of INCA)
Section 9	Marine and coastal ornithology
<u>Tables</u>	
Table 9-1	Summary of NPS for Ports requirements with regard to marine and coastal ornithology
Table 9-2	Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 of relevance to marine and coastal ornithology
Table 9-3	Approach adopted to the assessment of significance of potential impacts on waterbird populations
Table 9-4	Designated sites of ornithological interest relative to the proposed port options
Table 9-5	Annex I species of the Teesmouth and Cleveland Coast SPA
Table 9-6	Non-Annex I migratory species
Table 9-7	Summary of peak monthly totals and seasonal peaks in waterbird populations in the Tees estuary (sector 52901) over the period 2008/09 to 2012/13
Table 9-8	Summary of peak monthly totals and seasonal peaks in waterbird populations in the Bran Sands South sector (sector 52427) over the
	period 2008/09 to 2012/13



Table 9-10	Peak counts and five year averages for key bird species from 2009 to 2013 within Dabholm Gut, compared against five year average data for the Tees WeBS site (source: INCA, 2014)
Table 9-11	Peak counts and five year averages for key bird species from 2009 to 2013 along the river frontage (including intertidal area) north from Dabholm Gut to the boundary with the adjacent steel works site, compared against five year average data for the Tees WeBS site (source: INCA)
Table 9-12	Maximum counts for cormorants NWL Jetty
Table 9-13	Results of the waterbird surveys of the Vopak foreshore (f = feeding; I = loafing)
Table 9-14	Mitigated day noise impacts at ecologically sensitive receptor locations during quay percussive piling and south conveyor option construction (auger piling)
Table 9-15	Mitigated day noise impacts at ecologically sensitive receptor locations during quay percussive piling and north conveyor option construction (auger piling)
Table 9-16	Summary of impacts with regard to waterbird populations
Figures	
Figure 9-1 Figure 9-2	WeBS Core Count Sectors Locations of cross section where elevation data (LIDAR) has been extracted (and presented in Figure 9-3)
Figure 9-3 Figure 9-4	Elevation (LIDAR) data from sections shown in Figure 9-2 Assessed receptor locations and baseline monitoring locations
Figure 9-5 Figure 9-6	Noise mitigation barriers Noise contour plot of mitigated quay percussive piling and south conveyor construction piling
Figure 9-7	Noise contour plot of mitigated quay percussive piling and north conveyor construction piling
Appendices	
Appendix 9-1	Bird distribution plots
Appendix 9-2	Waterbird data
Section 10	Terrestrial ecology
<u>Tables</u>	
Table 10-1	Summary of scoping comments received from PINS during EIA scoping phase and Section 42 consultation responses with regard to terrestrial ecology
Table 10-2	Categorisation of impacts



Table 10-3	Summary of terrestrial ecology impacts anticipated to arise during construction, operation and decommissioning of the proposed scheme
Figures	
Figure 10-1 Figure 10-2 Figure 10-3	Bat survey sites Reptile survey sites Habitats located within the proposed scheme footprint
Appendices	
Appendix 10.1 Appendix 10.2	Terrestrial ecology legislation Terrestrial ecology survey reports
Section 11	Fisheries and fishing activity
<u>Tables</u>	
Table 11-1	Summary of scoping comments received from PINS and comments received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 with regard to fisheries and fishing activity
Table 11-2	Receptor sensitivity and associated criteria with regard to fisheries and fishing activity
Table 11-3	Magnitude of effect with regard to fisheries and fishing activity
Table 11-4	Summary of fish species present on OSPAR List of Threatened and/or Declining Species
Table 11-5	Summary of fish species present on list of Species of Principal Importance
Table 11-6	Fish and shrimp numbers recovered within epibenthic beam trawl surveys during 2014
Table 11-7	Fish species recorded during the survey and the associated conservation interest
Table 11-8	Maximum, minimum and mean RMS SPLs measured in each area of the Tees estuary during the underwater noise survey
Table 11-9	dBht RMS sound levels for fish for each measurement area on 2 April 2014
Table 11-10	dBht RMS sound levels for fish for each measurement area on 3 April 2014
Table 11-11	Summary of modelled ranges for unweighted peak SPLs for impact piling operations of a 914mm diameter pile and 2000mm diameter pile
Table 11-12	Summary of modelled ranges for 130 dBht (species) levels for impact pilling
Table 11-13	Summary of modelled ranges for 90 and 75 dBht (species) levels for impact piling operations using a 914mm diameter pile
Table 11-14	Summary of modelled ranges for 90 and 75 dBht (species) levels for impact piling operations using a 2000mm diameter pile



Table 11-15	Summary of modelled ranges for 90 and 75 dBht (species) levels for backhoe and suction dredging operations
Table 11-16	Summary of potential impacts, impact significance, mitigation measures
	and residual impacts to fisheries and fishing activity during the
	construction, operation and decommissioning phases
Figures	
Figure 11-1	Salmon and sea trout catch data from the Tees Barrage electronic fish
	counter
Figure 11-2	Location of beam trawl sampling stations
Figure 11-3	Location of average RMS sound levels for all measurements recorded
	during both days of the underwater noise survey
Figure 11-4	Frequency spectra of background noise in the main channel of the River Tees and the Seaton Channel
Figure 11-5	Time history of a dredger operating approximately 1km from the noise survey boat
Figure 11-6	Frequency spectra of two recordings of dredging compared to a
	recording on the main channel with no visible moving vessels
Figure 11-7	Time history of a recording taken at a distance of around 80m from two
	ships, the 'Elena VE' and the 'Wilson Hull' while one or both of them
	were being loaded
Figure 11-8	Frequency spectra of the recording shown in the previous figure
	compared to one taken of a tug underway and the background noise on
Figure 44.0	the main channel
Figure 11-9	Frequency spectra of the noise from the water intake at Hartlepool
	nuclear power station compared to the background level in the Seaton Channel
Figure 11-10	RMS sound level recorded by the fixed monitor hydrophone on 2 April
Figure 11-10	2014
Figure 11-11	RMS sound level recorded by the fixed monitor hydrophone on 3 April
	2014
Figure 11-12	Contour plot showing the predicted 90 and 75 dBht levels for dab for
	impact piling operations using a 914mm diameter pile and blow energy
	of 125kJ
Figure 11-13	Contour plot showing the predicted 130, 90 and 75 dBht levels for
	herring for impact piling operations using 914mm diameter pile and blow
	energy of 125kJ
Figure 11-14	Contour plot showing the predicted 90 and 75 dBht levels for salmon for
	impact piling operations using 914mm diameter pile and blow energy of 125kJ
Figure 11-15	Contour plot showing the predicted 90 and 75 dBht levels for sand lace
	for impact piling operations using 914mm diameter pile and blow energy
	of 125kJ



Figure 11-16	Contour plot showing the predicted 90 and 75 dBht levels for sea trout for impact piling operations using 914mm diameter pile and blow energy of 125kJ
Figure 11-17	Contour plot showing the predicted 90 and 75 dBht levels for dab for impact piling operations using 2000mm diameter pile and blow energy of 305kJ
Figure 11-18	Contour plot showing the predicted 130, 90 and 75dBht levels for herring for impact piling operations using 2000mm diameter pile and blow energy of 305kJ
Figure 11-19	Contour plot showing the predicted 90 and 75 dBht levels for salmon for impact piling operations using a 2000mm diameter pile and blow energy of 305kJ
Figure 11-20	Contour plot showing the predicted 90 and 75 dBht levels for sand lance for impact piling operations using a 2000mm diameter pile and blow energy of 305kJ
Figure 11-21	Contour plot showing the predicted 90 and 75dBht levels for trout for impact piling operations using a 2000mm diameter pile and blow energy of 305kJ

	Section	12	Traffic and	transport
--	---------	----	-------------	-----------

Т	-	Ы	00
	a	U	es
_	_	_	_

Table 12-1	NPS Traffic and Transport requirements
Table 12-2	Summary of responses to the PER
Table 12-3	Summary of consultation meetings and technical notes
Table 12-4	Summary of key consultation issues
Table 12-5	Link characteristics
Table 12-6	Link sensitivity
Table 12-7	Traffic and transport assessment framework
Table 12-8	Traffic and transport significance impact assessment matrix
Table 12-9	Traffic count data sources
Table 12-10	Existing daily traffic flows
Table 12-11	January 2017 assessment flows
Table 12-12	Summary of sustainable transport
Table 12-13	Junctions identified as sensitive to developments traffic generation
Table 12-14	Worst case construction phase assumptions
Table 12-15	Harbour facilities construction personnel vehicle and parking demand
Table 12-16	Summary of Phase 1 and Phase 2 traffic demand
Table 12-17	Existing and proposed daily traffic flows during the Harbour facilities
	construction phase
Table 12-18	Junction 9, junction capacity, delay and queuing
Table 12-19	Junction 10, junction capacity, delay and queuing
Table 12-20	Junction 11, junction capacity, delay and queuing
Table 12-21	Junction 12, junction capacity, delay and queuing
Table 12-22	Predicted decommissioning works for the conveyor system



Appendices

Appendix 12.1	Figures
Appendix 12.2	Transport Assessment
Appendix 12.3	Framework Construction Traffic Management Plan
Appendix 12.4	Input traffic data
Appendix 12.5	Graphical plot of collisions
Appendix 12.6	Full list of cluster sites
Appendix 12.7	Collision clusters for the past 5 years
Appendix 12.8	Summary of monthly HGV traffic demand
Appendix 12.9	Monthly HGV peaks
Appendix 12.10	Construction personnel traffic
Appendix 12.11	Distribution of employees per postcode cluster
<u>Charts</u>	
Chart 1	Traffic survey annual traffic profile
Chart 2	Traffic survey data daily profile
<u>Diagrams</u>	
Diagram 12-1	Derivation of baseline traffic flows
Section 13 Air quality	
Tables	
Table 13-1	Air Quality Strategy Objectives (England) for the purpose of Local Air Quality Management
Table 13-2	Summary of comments received from PINS and in response to Section 42 consultation with regard to air quality
Table 13-3	Effect descriptors for each activity with mitigation, at individual receptors
Table 13-4	DMRB and EPUK road traffic screening criteria
Table 13-5	Magnitude of changes in pollutant concentrations of NO ₂ , PM ₁₀ and
	PM _{2.5}
Table 13-6	Air quality impact descriptors for changes to annual mean NO ₂ , PM ₁₀
	and PM _{2.5} at a receptor
Table 13-7	Monitored NO ₂ and PM ₁₀ Concentrations
Table 13-8	Annual mean background pollutant concentration ranges for each assessment year
Table 13-9	Dust emission magnitude for the site
Table 13-10	Sensitivity of the area to dust soiling and human health impacts
Table 13-11	Summary dust risk table to define site-specific mitigation
Table 13-12	Summary of construction phase dust impacts at the harbour facilities site, with mitigation



Table 13-13	Summary of predicted with development NO ₂ concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-14	Summary of predicted with development PM ₁₀ concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-15	Summary of predicted with development PM _{2.5} concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-16	Summary of predicted change in NO ₂ concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-17	Summary of predicted change in PM ₁₀ concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-18	Summary of predicted change in PM _{2.5} concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-19	Summary of magnitude of change in pollutant concentration and associated impact for 2015 construction phase at identified receptor locations considered
Table 13-20	Summary of predicted with development NO ₂ concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-21	Summary of predicted with development PM ₁₀ concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-22	Summary of predicted with development PM _{2.5} concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-23	Summary of predicted change in NO ₂ concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-24	Summary of predicted change in PM ₁₀ concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-25	Summary of predicted change in PM _{2.5} concentrations at human receptor locations
Table 13-26	Summary of magnitude of change in pollutant concentration and associated impact for 2020 and 2030 operational phase at identified receptor locations considered
Table 13-27 Table 13-28	Tees estuary vessel movements in 2014 Summary of impacts with regard to air quality
Figures	
rigures	
Figure 13-1	Study area for fugitive and particular matter assessment
Figure 13-2	Study area for road traffic emission assessment
Figure 13-3	Location of diffusion tubes
Figure 13-4	Wind roses for Teesside recording station N
Figure 13-5	Receptor locations
Appendices	
Appendix 13-1	Details of assessment of construction phase dust and particulate matter emissions



Section 14 Noise and vibration

т	้ล	h	les
	а		00

Table 14-1	Summary of comments received in the PINS Scoping Opinion and in response to Section 42 consultation with regard noise and vibration
Table 14-2	List of assumed plant for quay construction noise assessment
Table 14-3	List of assumed plant for conveyor construction noise assessment
Table 14-4	Construction noise receptor locations
Table 14-5	Construction noise threshold levels based on the ABC method (BS5228)
Table 14-6	Daytime construction noise significance criteria (residential)
Table 14-7	Evening/night time construction noise significance criteria (residential)
Table 14-8	Day, evening and night noise significance criteria (ecological)
Table 14-9	AAWT traffic data used for the construction traffic noise assessment
Table 14-10	Construction and operational noise impact magnitude criteria
Table 14-11	Effect magnitude - Construction vibration
Table 14-12	Operational activity
Table 14-13	List of assumed plant for operational noise assessment
Table 14-14	Harbour and conveyor operational noise effect magnitude criteria
Table 14-15	Definition of terms relating to sensitivity of receptors
Table 14-16	Overall impact resulting from each combination of receptor sensitivity
	and the magnitude of the effect upon it
Table 14-17	Summary of Wilton baseline noise levels (January 2013 to March 2014)
Table 14-18	Attended baseline noise levels
Table 14-19	Predicted daytime noise impacts during quay construction
Table 14-20	Calculated evening/night noise impacts during quay construction
Table 14-21	Calculated day noise impacts during south conveyor option construction
Table 14-22	Calculated evening/night noise impacts during south conveyor option
	construction
Table 14-23	Predicted daytime noise impacts during north conveyor option
	construction
Table 14-24	Predicted evening/night noise impacts during north conveyor option
	construction
Table 14-25	Mitigated day noise impacts during Stage 2 quay percussive piling and
	south conveyor route auger piling
Table 14-26	Mitigated evening/night noise impacts during Stage 2 quay percussive
	piling and south conveyor route auger piling
Table 14-27	Mitigated day noise impacts during Stage 2 quay percussive piling and
	north conveyor route auger piling
Table 14-28	Mitigated evening/night noise impacts during Stage 2 quay percussive
	piling and north conveyor route auger piling
Table 14-29	Predicted distances at which vibration levels may occur
Table 14-30	Screening assessment of initial construction year traffic impacts –
	Weekday Average
Table 14-31	Screening assessment of initial construction year traffic impacts –
	Saturday Average



Table 14-32	Screening assessment of initial construction year traffic impacts – Sunday Average
Table 14-33	Daytime operational noise assessment – quay and south conveyor route option
Table 14-34	Night time operational noise assessment – quay and south conveyor route option
Table 14-35	Daytime operational noise assessment – quay and north conveyor route option
Table 14-36	Night time operational noise assessment – quay and north conveyor route option
Table 14-37	Screening assessment of initial operational year traffic impacts – Weekday Average
Table 14-38	Screening assessment of initial operational year traffic impacts – Saturday Average
Table 14-39	Screening assessment of initial operational year traffic impacts – Sunday Average
Table 14-40	Summary of predicted impacts
Figures	
Figure 14-1	Assessed receptor locations and baseline monitoring locations
Figure 14-2	Waterbird response to construction disturbance
Figure 14-3	Noise contour plot of quay construction – Stage 1
Figure 14-4	Noise contour plot of quay construction – Stage 2
Figure 14-5	Noise contour plot of quay construction – Stage 3 (Dredge Area 1)
Figure 14-6	Noise contour plot of quay construction – Stage 3 (Dredge Area 2)
Figure 14-7	Noise contour plot of quay construction – Stage 4
Figure 14-8	Noise contour plot of south conveyor construction – Stage 1
Figure 14-9	Noise contour plot of south conveyor construction – Stage 2
Figure 14-10	Noise contour plot of south conveyor construction – Stage 3
Figure 14-11	Noise contour plot of north conveyor construction – Stage 1
Figure 14-12	Noise contour plot of north conveyor construction – Stage 2
Figure 14-13	Noise contour plot of north conveyor construction – Stage 3
Figure 14-14	Noise contour plot of mitigated Stage 2 quay percussive piling and south
0	conveyor construction
Figure 14-15	Noise contour plot of mitigated Stage 2 quay percussive piling and north
	conveyor construction
Figure 14-16	Noise contour plot of operational quay and south conveyor option
Figure 14-17	Noise contour plot of operational quay and north conveyor option
Appendices	
Appendix 14.1	Vibration calculations



Section 15 Archaeology and heritage

Tables	
Table 15-1	Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 with specific regard to archaeology and cultural heritage
Table 15-2	Main sources of archaeology and heritage information
Table 15-3	Criteria for determining heritage significance (importance)
Table 15-4	Criteria for assessing magnitude of effects
Table 15-5	Impact significance matrix
Table 15-6	Heritage Assets recorded as being located within the site (DCO application boundary)
Table 15-7	Summary of mitigation measures
<u>Figures</u>	
Figure 15-1	Archaeology and heritage data
Figure 15-2	Archaeology and heritage data - aerial imagery background
Appendices	
Appendix 15.1	Archaeology desk based appraisal
Appendix 15.2	Northern Gateway Archaeological Appraisal
Appendix 15.3	Stage 1 geoarchaeological assessment
Appendix 15.4	Additional settings assessment report
<u>Plates</u>	
Plate 15-1	Dolphin Mooring Bollard located at the recorded position of the Seventh Buoy Light (63)
Section 16	Commercial navigation
Tables	
Table 16-1	Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received
	during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 with regard to commercial navigation
Table 16-2	Observed and effective channel depths within the Tees estuary
Table 16-3	Summary of monthly vessel movements within the Tees estuary from
	January to September 2013
Table 16-4	Results of relevant model scenarios from the Marine Navigation Risk
	Assessment (Royal HaskoningDHV, 2014)
Table 16-5	Summary of potential impacts, mitigation measures and residual
	impacts during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases
	of the proposed scheme with regard to commercial navigation



Figures	
Figure 16.1	Advertised dredged depths within the Tees estuary
Appendices	
Appendix 16-1	Marine Navigation Risk Assessment
Section 17	Coastal protection and flood defence
Tables	
Table 17-1	Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 with regard to coastal protection and flood defence
Table 17-2	Sensitivity of receptor
Table 17-3	Magnitude of effect
Table 17-4	Summary of Impacts
Figures	
There are no fi	ures within this section.
Appendices	
Appendix 17-1	Flood Risk Assessment
Section 18	nfrastructure
<u>Tables</u>	
Table 18-1	Summary of comments received from PINS and in response to Section 42 consultation with regard to infrastructure
Table 18-2	Summary of impacts, mitigation measures and residual impacts with regard to infrastructure
<u>Figures</u>	
Figure 18-1	Location of above ground infrastructure



Section 19 Socio-economics

<u>Tables</u>	
Table 19-1	Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 with
	specific regard to socio-economics
Table 19-2	Population and age profile of local, regional and national areas
Table 19-3	Population dynamics within local, regional and national areas
Table 19-4	Labour market within local, regional and national areas
Table 19-5	Employment rate within local, regional and national areas
Table 19-6	Job seekers claimants rate (population aged 16 to 64)
Table 19-7	Job seekers claimants by sought occupation (population aged 16 to 64)
Table 19-8	Working age benefit claimants rate (population aged 16 to 64)
Table 19-9	Qualifications (population aged 16 to 74) within local, regional and
	national areas
Table 19-10	Occupation profile (resident population aged 16 to 74)
Table 19-11	Industrial profile of Dormanstown (resident population aged 16 to 74)
Table 19-12	The manufacturing sector (resident population aged 16 to 74)
Table 19-13	The construction sector (resident population aged 16-74)
Table 19-14	Employment (employees + proprietors, workplace)
Table 19-15	Employment in construction
Table 19-16	Public sector employment estimates
Table 19-17	Housing type within Dormanstown
Table 19-18	Housing tenure in Dormanstown
Table 19-19	Median gross annual pay 2013 (resident based and workplace based)
Table 19-20	Total GVA (£ million) 2005 to 2011
Table 19-21	Total indirect spending effects during construction of Phase 1 (rounded
	figures)
Table 19-22	GVA effects during construction
Table 19-23	Indirect and induced operational effects: labour market
Table 19-24	Summary of socio-economic impacts anticipated to arise during
	construction, operation and decommissioning of the proposed scheme
Figures	

Fi	Q	u	res	5
	~	-		

Figure 19-1 Figure 19-2 Figure 19-3 Figure 19-4	Site context Age profile of local, regional and national areas Qualifications profile (population aged 16 to 74) Deprivation in Three District Area
<u>Appendices</u> Appendix 19.1	Socio-economic legislation, policy and guidance



Section 20	Landscape and visual environment
Tables	
Table 20-1	Summary of scoping comments received from PINS and in response to Section 42 consultation with regard to landscape and visual character
Table 20-2	Summary of anticipated construction phase impacts to landscape receptors
Table 20-3	Summary of anticipated construction phase impacts to visual receptors
Table 20-4	Summary of anticipated operational phase impacts to landscape receptors
Table 20-5	Summary of anticipated operational phase impacts to visual receptors
Table 20-6	Summary of anticipated decommissioning phase impacts to landscape receptors
Table 20-7	Summary of anticipated decommissioning phase impacts to visual receptors
Table 20-8	National Planning Policy Framework
Table 20-9	Redcar and Cleveland Local Development Framework
Appendices	
Appendix 20-1	LVIA methodology
Appendix 20-2	Landscape receptor assessment
Appendix 20-3	Visual receptor assessment
Appendix 20-4	Technical lighting assessment annexes
Appendix 20-5	LVIA figures
Section 21	Recreation and access
<u>Tables</u>	
Table 21-1	Summary of comments in the PINS Scoping Opinion and received during consultation under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 with regard to recreation and access
Table 21-2	Examples of receptor sensitivity with regard to recreation and access
Table 21-3	Guidance for assessment of magnitude of effect with regard to recreation and access
Table 21-4	Impact assessment matrix used with regard to recreation and access
Table 21-5	Summary of anticipated impacts to recreation and access
Figures	
Figure 21-1	Location of public rights of way and cycle routes



Section 22	Offshore disp	oosal of dredged material
<u>Figures</u>		
Figure 22-1		Maximum depth-average excess suspended sediment concentration from sediment released during disposal operations
Figure 22-2		Maximum depth of sediment deposition from sediment released during disposal operations
Appendices		
Appendix 22.1		Minipod deployments at the Tees disposal site
Section 23	Impact inter-r	relationships
Tables		
Table 23-1		Overview of Inter-relationships for each environmental topic considered in the ES
Section 24	References	